Ind. J. Physiol. Pharmac., Volume 33, Number 3, 1989

EFFECTS OF CIMETIDINE COMBINATION WITH CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE IN TRANSPLANTED MURINE TUMORS

D. D KHANDALEKAR, U. K. GANU AND S. V. GOKHALE*

Pharmacokinetics and Drug Targeting Unit, Cancer Research Institute, Tata Memorial Centre, Parel, Bombay - 400 012

(Received on May 5, 1989)

Abstract : Studies were carried out on the combination of Cimetidine (CMTD) with Cytoxan (CTX) in three murine tumors. While the combination significantly potentiated the anticancer effect of CTX in L1210 leukemia, the results with P388 leukemia were not significantly different. The results with Lewis Lung Carcinoma showed a consistent reduction in the number of metastases. However, there was no consistent concomitant prolongation in survival. The host strain, biology of the tumour and the drug used in combination with CMTD might be some of the factors responsible for the varied response.

Key words : cimetidine L1210 cyclophosphamide P388 combination therapy Lewis lung carcinoma

with CMTD reality available and solid turnouts at our

INTRODUCTION

Cimetidine (CMTD) is an H₂-receptor blocker used in the treatment of duodenal ulcer. It has been shown to cause tumour regression in two patients with metastatic carcinoma of bronchial origin (1). A direct anti-tumour effect has also been observed by several workers with regression of tumour and an increase in survival (2, 3). An immunoregulatory mechanism has been proposed by several workers (4, 5) for the inactivation of suppressor cells, thereby slowing down the development of lung metastases in CMTD treated Lewis Lung Carcinoma (3). CMTD enchancement of T-cell mediated function, thereby immunologically thwarting tumour cell growth has also been indicated (3). However, some investigators have not only ruled out the immunoregulatory properties associated with CMTD, but have observed an enhancement of tumour growth and an increased trapping of tumour cells in the lung (6).

CMTD has been shown to depress the clearance of microsomally metabolised drugs in rodents (7, 8, 9) and man (10, 11, 12, 13, 14). It has also been shown to cause a number of uncommon suppressive haematological reactions, including agranulocytosis (15, 16, 13), pancytopenia (17) and elimination of pruritus in polycythemia vera (18). An accentuation of haematological toxicity in patients treated with Lomustine for brain tumours has also been reported (19). Enhancement of anticancer activity of razoxan, an anticancer drugs, on combination with CMTD in Walker 256 carcinoma has been reported. It has been suggested that there is possibly an effect

^{*} Corresponding Author

172 Khandalekar et a/.

on the tumour blood vessels after the finding of a reduced liver blood flow by Feely *et at* (20). Dorr and Alberts have shown potentiation of cytoxan antitumour activity in combination with CMTD in DBA mice bearing P388 lymph cytic leukemia (21). However, Collins and Hellman (22) did not observe the efficacy of the combination against the solid tumours such as S180, Lewis Lung Carcinoma and L1210 (S.C.).

We have worked on the combination of cytoxan with CMTD against ascitic and solid tumours at our laboratories. The effect of this combination has been presented in this communication, on P.88 and L1210 leukemia in BDF₁ (C₅₇BL/6 \mathcal{P} ×DBA/2 \mathcal{J}) strain of mice, and on Lewis Lung Carcinoma in C₅₇BL/6 mice.

METHODS

Tumours : Leukemia L1210 and P388, which were serially maintained in DBA/2 mice were used. BDF₁ (C₅₇BL/6 \oplus ×DBA/2 \Im) mice were used for experimental studies. Each mouse received 10⁵ cells in the case of L1210 and 10⁶ cells in the case of P388 in 0.1 ml of the medium by intraperitoneal route on day 0. For Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LL) C₅₇BL/6 mice were used for both, maintainance as well as experimental studies. The tumours were transplanted as per the method of Geran *et al* (23). Animals of the same sex were used in a particular set of experiment.

Pure Cimetidine was obtained from Cadilla Laboratories (Ahmedabad, India). This was ground well and used as a fine suspension in 0.1% CMC in normal saline. Cytoxan (Cycloxan, injectable) was purchased from Biochem Pharmaceutical Industries, Bombay.

Animals were randomized after tumour transplantation and kept in four different cages with minimum six animals per cage, The four groups were as follows: (i) Control, (ii) Cimetidine (200 Ind. J. Physiol. Pharmac., Volume 33, Number 3, 1989

mg/kg), (iii) Cytoxan (50 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg), (iv) Cimetidine (200 mg/kg) and Cytoxan (50 mg/kg).

The schedules of drug administration in mice bearing various tumours are as shown in Table I (Leukemia, P. 88), Table II (Leukemia L1210) and Table III (Lewis Lung Carcinoma, LL).

 TABLE I : Effect of combination of cyclophosphamide (CTX) and cimetidine (CMTD) on Leukemia P388

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Injection 1 schedule (day)	MST T _i C	% T/C
Control		_	10.0	-
CMTD	200	1-9	12.0/10.0	120
CTX	100	1,5	$(5)^2$	<300
CTX	100	1,5		
+	+	RUD BOLIDE SY	26 5/10.0	265
CMTD	200	19	(2) ²	
Control	0.000	and an orthogram	-/10.0	-
CMTD	200	1-9	10.5/10.0	105
CTX	50	1, 5, 9	26.5, 10.0	265)
CTX	50	1, 5, 9)
+	+		22.5/10.0) N.S.
CMTD	200	1-9		225)
CTX	30	1, 5, 9	22.0/10.0	220)
CTX	30	1, 5, 9) N.S.
+	+		26.0/10.0)
CMTD	200	1-9		260)

(1) One injection of drug (ip) adminstered as indicated each day.

(2) Long term servivore beyond 30 days.

RESULTS

Table I shows the effect of the combination of CMTD and CTX on P388 leukemia. While there were 5 long (30 day) survivors with a percent T/C of <360 for CTX alone, there were only 2 long (30 day) survivors with a percent T/C of 265 for the combination at a CTX does of 100 mg/kg (d-1 and 5) and CMTD 200 mg/kg (d-1-9) showing a reduction in the activity for the combination. However, at lower doses of CTX (50 mg/kg and 30 mg/kg on day 1, 5, 9), the differences in the activity pattern of CTX alone, and the combination, were statistically not significant. Ind. J. Physiol. Pharmac., Volume 33, Number 3, 1989 Combined Effect of CTX and Cimetidine in Murine Tumors 173

Т

The effect of the combination of L1210 leukemia has been shown in Table II. The percent T/C for the combination was greater than 300 (4 long term – survivors) as against 224 (2 long term survivors) for CTX alone at 100 mg/kg (day 1, 5). Even at a lower – dose of CTX (50 mg/kg on day 1, 5, 9) the enhancement in the activity could not be observed.

 TABLE II : Effect of combination of cyclophosphamide (CTX) and cimetidine (CMTD) on Leukemia L1210.

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Injection 1 schedule (days)	MST T/C	% T/C
Control	-	_	0.8.7	Contractor
CMTD	200	1-9	8.0/8.7	92
CTX	100	1.5	19.5/8.7 (2)2	224)
CTX	100	1.5) 3
+	+	Same and		300)
CMTD	200	1-9	$(4)^2$)
Control	1/2010/02107	- 141 april	0/9.3	the Crewen J
CMTD	200	1-9	9.3/9.3	100
CTX	50	1.5.9	14.4/9.3	156)
CTX	50	1.5.9	dire sine)
+	+	-, -, -	19.8/9.3) p 0.001
CMTD	200	1-9	Gallysinh P.	213)
Control	200	1-9	9.3/9.3	100
CTX	30	1.5.9	12.3 9.3	135)
CTX	30	1 5.9	a coller ontibits) N.S.
+	+	-, -, -, -	13 9.3	5
CMTD	200	1-9	STO monistica	143)

1. One injection of drug (ip) administratered as indicated each day.

2. Long term survivors beyond 30 days

3. Exact P value cannot be calculated; but it is highly significant.

Table III shows the results on the effect of the combination on the formation of pulmonary metastases in Lewis Lung Carcinoma. A reduction in the number of pulmonary metastases in the combination treated group as compared to the cytoxan treated group shows the efficacy of the combination. However, no consistent enhancement in the life-span of CTX-CMTD combination treated Lewis Lung Carcinoma bearing animals over CTX treated animals could be observed (data not shown). CMTD alone at a dose of 200 mg/kg for days 1-9 did not possess any antitumour activity against all the three tumours tested.

Drugs	No. of lung metastatic nodules*, c	Nodule size	% Regression
Control	X13 14 C	3 mm	as the clic
CMTD	13	3 mm	a ai doi7eiree
CTX	12	2-3 mm	14
CTX ^a		II no allusor	
+10 inder	2.3	1-2 mm	83
CMTD ^b			

ABLE III	III	:	Effect	of	combination	of	cimetidine and	
		cyclophosphamide (CTX) on lung metastasis						
		in Lew	is L	ung carcinoma	(L)	L).		

*Average of two sets of experiments

a) Cyclophosphamide 50 mg/kg injected ip on days 1, 5, 9 and 13

b) Cimetidine 200 mg/kg injected ip from days 1 to 9.

c) Animals were sacrificed on day 17.

DISCUSSION

Inconsistent results ranging from anticancer activity (1, 2, 3) to tumour growth potentiation (2, 24) have been reported for CMTD, in the literature. A link between the H2-receptor blockade and potentiation of anticancer activity has been suggested. Collin and Hellman reported the potentiation of anticancer activity of Razoxane, an anticancer drug by CMTD (25, 26), metiamide and ranitidine (22). However, they say that histamine antagonism is necessary for the compound and structural analogs devoid of antagonism do not show potentiation of anticancer activity. The H2-antagonists given alone, did not possess anticancer activity (22). Our results with CMTD concur with the above findings. However, clonidine, a central a-adrenergic st mulant and H2-receptor antagonist neither showed anticancer activity by itself, nor potentiated that of CTX in L1210 or P318 leukemia (unpublished data).

The potentiation of anticancer activity by CMTD of CTX against L1210 leukemia is in accordance with the results of Dorr and Alberts (21). However, our results in P388 leukemia are somewhat inconsistent. These observations are difficult to explain only on the basis of Histamine antagonism

174 Khandalekar et al.

of CMTD. May be, in our case, we have used BDF_1 mice in our studies instead of DBA/2 mice as used by Dorr and Albert (21). The strain of the mice used (hybrid against pure) might have some relevance as far as the effect of CMTD on CTX activity potentiation is concerned.

Further, the results on LL are quite inconsistent. While there was reduction in the number of pulmonary metastases, no consistent prolongation in Ind. J. Physiol. Pharmac., Volume 33, Number 3, 1989

the life-span of LL bearing animals for CTX-CMTD combination over only CTX treated animals was observed. Only CMTD had very little influence upon the metastases to the lungs.

The inconsistent results suggest a mechanism of potentiation which is dependent upon the host strain, the biology of the transplanted tumour and the drug with which Cimetidine in combined. Further studies would be necessary to arrive at firm conclusions.

REFERENCES

ZTO to mail Effort of CT.X

- Armitage JO, Sidner RD. Antitumour effect of cimetidine. Lancet 1979; 1: 882-3.
- Gifford RRM, Fergusan RM, Voss BV. Cimetidine reduction of tumour formation in mice. Lancet 1981; 1:638-9.
- 3. Osband ME, Hamilton D, Shen HJ et al. Successful tumour immunotherapy with cimetidine in mice. Lancet 1981; 1: 636-8.
- Plaut M, Lichtenstein LM, Gillespie E, Henney CS. Studies on the mechanism of lymphocyte-mediated cytolysis. *J Immunol* 1973; 111: 389-94.
- Shearer GM, Melmon KL, Weinstein V, Sela M. Regulation of antibody response by cells expressing histamine receptors. J Exp Med 1972: 136: 1302-7.
- Haines RL, Barna BP. Studies on cimetidine in immunologic function and tumour growth. Fed Proc 1980; 39: 475.
- 7. Desmond PU, Patwardhan R, Parter R, Schenker S, Speeg KV Jr. Effect of cimetidine and other antibistaminics on the elimination of aminopyrine, phenacetin and caffeine. Life Sci 1980; 26: 1261-8.
- Klotz U, Antilla VI, Raimann I. Cimetidine/diazepam interaction. Lancet 1979; 11: 699.
- Felkonen O, Purunen J The effect of cimetidine on in-vitro and in-vivo microsomal drug metabolism in the rat. Biochem Pharmacol 1980; 29: 3075-80.
- Campbell M, Plachetka JR, Jackson JE, Moon JF, Finley PR. Cimetidine decreases theophylline clearance. Ann Intern Med 1980; 93: 266-8.
- 11. Desmond RV, Patwardhan R, Schenket S, Speeg KV Jr. Cimetidine impairs elimination of chiordiazepoxide (librium) in man. Ann Intern Med 1980; 266-8.
- Jackson JE, Powel JR, Wandell M, Dorr R. Cimetidine decreases theophylline clearance. Am Rev Resp Dis 1981; 123: 615-7.
- 13. Klotz SA, Kay BF. Cimetidine and agranulocytosis. Ann Intern Med 1978; 88: 579-80.

- Serlin MJ, Mossman S, Sibeon RG, Brekenridge AM. Cimetidine: Interaction with oral anticoagulants in man. Lancet 1979; 11: 317-9.
- Chang HK, Morrison SL. Bone marrow suppression associated with cimetidine. Ann Intern Med 1979; 91: 580.
- Craven ER, Whittington JM. Agranulocytosis four months after cimetidine therapy. Lancet 1972; 2: 294-5.
- DeGalocyay C, Van Ypersele De Strigou C. Pancytopenia with cimetidine. Ann Intern Med 1979; 90: 274.
- Easton P, Galbraith P. Cimetidine treatment of pruritus in polycythemia vera. N Eng J Med 1978; 299: 1134.
- Selkez RG, Moore P, Lo Doke D. Bone marro depression with cimetidine plus carmustine. N Eng J Med 1973; 299: 834.
- 20. Feely J, Wilkinson GR, Wood AJJ. Reduction of liver blood flow and propranolol metabolism by cimetidine. *N Eng J Med* 1981; 304: 692-5.
- Dorr RT, Alberts DS. Cimetidine enchancement of cyclophosphamide antitumour activity. Br J Canc 1982; 45: 35-43.
- Collins M, Hellman K. Histamine receptor antagonism and antitumour activity. Br J Canc 1982, 46: 817-20.
- Geran RI, Greenberg NH, MacDonald AM, Schumacher AM, Abbot BJ. Protocols for screening chemical agents and natural products against animal tumours and other biological systems. Canc Chemother Rep 1972; Part (3) 3: 3-103.
- 24. Barna BP, Haines R, Edinger M, Chiang T Tumour enhancing effects of cimetidine. Oncol 1983: 40: 43-5.
- Collins MM. Enchancement of razoxane antitumour activity by cimetidine. Br J Canc 1980; 42: 173.
- Collins M. Enchancement of antitumour activity by H2-receptor antagonists. Br J Canc 1981; 44: 280.

eres Long Carlinon control annual rested annuals could be observed (da'a nu diova) 5 1TD atoms at a dote of 200 algdg for days dlid not possess any antitum ut selivity against o be three tumours tested.